1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   CHRISTOPHER NATHANIEL   No. 2:20-cv-02261 KJM AC P
     WASHINGTON,
12
                         Plaintiff,
13   ORDER
             v.
14
     RALPH DIAZ, et al.,
15
                         Defendants.
16

17   Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief

18   under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided

19   by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20   On March 11, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were

21   served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings

22   and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 122. Neither party filed

23   objections to the findings and recommendations.

24   The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,

25   602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed

26   de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey,* 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law

27   by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

28   /////

                                              1

. . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 122) are adopted in full;

2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction (ECF No. 121) is denied; and

3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: August 16, 2024.

_____
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE